DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

REC	B	IVED
W.D	ĵ	炒 亏

In the matter of

Amendment of Part 90 of the
Commission's Rules To Facilitate
Future Development of SMR Systems
in the 800 MHz Frequency Band

and

Implementation of Section 309(j)
of the Communications ActCompetitive Bidding
800 MHz SMR

PR Docket No. 93-144

PR Docket No. 93-144

PRM-8030

PRM-8029

PRM-8029

PP Docket No. 93-253

To: The Commission

Reply Comments of The Ericsson Corporation

The Ericsson Corporation, on behalf of itself and affiliated companies (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Ericsson"), hereby submits its reply comments in response to comments submitted in the *Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making*¹ in the above-captioned proceeding. In support thereof, Ericsson states as follows:

I. The FCC Should Not Impose Mandatory Relocation on Incumbents

The overwhelming consensus of the numerous parties that filed comments in this proceeding support the Commission's proposal to permanently grandfather incumbent 800

No. of Copies rec'd DHU

Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's rules to Facilitate Future Development of SMR Systems in the 800 MHz Frequency Band and Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act-Competitive Bidding 800 MHz SMR, PR Docket No. 93-144, RM-8117, RM-8030, RM-8029, PP Docket No. 93-253, FCC 94-271, Rcd (Released November 4, 1994) (hereinafter "FNPRM").

MHz SMR licensees who operate in the upper 200 channels proposed for allocation on an MTA basis. Ericsson submits that the record in this proceeding clearly indicates that mandatory relocation of incumbent upper channel operators would be tantamount to mandatory disruption of their operations. Indeed, despite the fact that a few companies continue to argue for mandatory retuning or relocation, no company filing comments in this proceeding has satisfactorily demonstrated there is sufficient available 800 MHz spectrum to accomplish that goal.

II. There Is No Record To Support A Contiguous Allocation of 10 MHz For Wide-Area MTA-Based 800 MHz Systems

In its initial comments in this proceeding Ericsson asserted that there had been no demonstration that a contiguous allocation for MTA-based 800 MHz SMR systems was necessary, especially since the Commission's rules already allow SMRs to create wide-area systems in the 800 MHz SMR band.² Many parties filing comments in the FNPRM supported this position. In fact, the majority of comments submitted in opposition to the Commission's allocation scheme focused on the proposal being an economic necessity for Nextel to effectively compete with cellular and PCS licensees.³ Ericsson agrees with those parties who assert that the FCC should not amend its rules merely to provide economic relief to a limited number of licensees. This is especially true in the 800 MHz SMR band where the end result of the Commission's proposal would be to severely disrupt existing 800 MHz SMR operations. Accordingly, Ericsson reiterates its opposition to the

² See, Comments of The Ericsson Corporation, p. 2. See also, Fleet Call, Inc., Memorandum Opinion and Order, 6 FCC Rcd 1522, recon dismissed, 6 FCC Rcd 6989 (1991).

Motorola, Inc., a supplier to Nextel, filed comments stating that its MIRS technology "...has the ability to operate on any SMR channels whether or not contiguous." Comments of Motorola, Inc. at p. 4. Thus, the need for contiguous spectrum is clearly not a technical issue.

Commission's general proposal to allocate the upper 200 800 MHz SMR channels for MTA-wide licensing.

III. General Category Channels Should Not Be Reassigned For SMR Use

Numerous parties filing comments in the FNPRM opposed the Commission's proposal to reassign the General Pool categories for SMR-only use. Ericsson fully agrees with the comments expressing the view that General category channels should not be reassigned. It is critical in an already crowded band to ensure that all entities eligible for 800 MHz channels have equitable access to sufficient channels for their operations.

IV. Miscellaneous Issues

As set forth above, Ericsson does not believe the Commission's wide-area proposal serves the public interest due to the fact that thousands of existing licensees would be adversely affected thereby. However, to the extent the FCC allocates the upper 200 channels of 800 MHz SMR spectrum for wide-area systems on an MTA, BTA or BEA basis, Ericsson agrees with those parties who asserted that eligibility for such licenses should not be limited only to those entities already operating wide-area 800 MHz systems. To do otherwise would be inequitable to existing non-wide-area licensees as well as potential new entrants. Principles of fair and open competition demand that all entities who are qualified to hold such licenses are able to vie for such licenses.

In addition, Ericsson agrees with those parties who support the BEA as the proper geographic size for 800 MHz wide-area licenses. It believes the BEA more accurately reflects the natural scope of SMR operations.

Lastly, Ericsson submits that a licensing method other than competitive bidding should be used to allocate MTA, BTA or BEA wide-area licenses. This is due to the fact that in the already heavily licensed 800 MHz SMR band, auctions or other competitive bidding methods will not adequately protect the interests of smaller entities who may wish to participate in the process.

V. Conclusion

As stated in its initial comments in the FNPRM, Ericsson is not opposed to the concept of licensing CMRS entities on an MTA, BTA or BEA basis. It only opposes the concept in the specific context of the 800 MHz SMR band due to the significant number of small businesses currently operating in the band who would be adversely affected by the Commission's proposal. Rather than cause significant disruption to these small business entities, Ericsson believes the more prudent regulatory decision would be to make no changes to the 800 MHz band. Maintaining the status quo with respect to the 800 MHz band will not have any adverse impact on competition in view of currently licensed or soon to be licensed spectrum for a wide variety of real-time, two-way voice services,

including but not limited to, the two existing cellular carriers in each market; up to 6 new PCS licensees; and at least three LEO satellite licensees.

Respectfully submitted,

The Ericsson Corporation

David C. Jatlow

Its Attorney

Young & Jatlow 2300 N Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 663-9080

March 1, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lisa M. Volpe, hereby certify that on this 1st day of March 1995 copies of the foregoing Reply Comments of The Ericsson Corporation were sent by postage-paid first class mail to the following:

Michael F. Altschul Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association 1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036

Paul C. Besozzi Besozzi, Gavin & Craven 1901 L Street, N.W., Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036

Mary E. Brooner Motorola, Inc. 1350 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005

Doyle E. Brown Radio Communications Center 3508 East Highland Drive Jonesboro, AR 72401

Michael R. Carper OneComm Corporation 4643 South Ulster Street, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80237

Travis Carroll Raserco, Inc. 923 East Hillsboro El Dorado, AR 71730

Thomas J. Casey Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom 1440 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Vernon D. Castille Gulf Coast Radiofone 1126 Boston Avenue Nederland, TX 77627

Donald R. Clark Don Clark Radio Communications, Inc. 550 W. Pacific Street Blackfoot, ID 83221

Marjorie K. Conner Keck, Mahin & Cate 1201 New York Ave., N.W Penthouse Washington, DC 20005

David Cosson National Telephone Cooperative Association 2626 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, Dc 20037

Mark E. Crosby 1110 N. Glebe Road Suite 500 Arlington, Va 22201-5720

Gerald J. Duffy Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens 2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20554

Robert S. Foosaner Nextel Communications, Inc. 800 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 1001 Washington, DC 20006 Russell H. Fox Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 900 East Tower Washington, DC 20005

Joel F. Freedman
Dial Call Communications, Inc.
1355 Peachtree Street, Suite 750
Atlanta, GA 30309

Jere W. Glover U.S. Small Business Adminstration 409 3rd Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20416

Mark J. Golden
Personal Communications Industry
Association
1019 19th Street, N.W., Suite 1100
Washington, Dc 20036

Lewis H. Goldman 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1080 Washington, DC 20036

Eliot J. Greenwald Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader & Zaragoza, LLP 2001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006

Raymond B. Grochowski Latham & Watkins 1001 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Robert M. Gurss Wilkes, Artis, Hedrick & Lane, Chtd. 1666 K Street, N.W., Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20006 Timothy P. Haley Centennial Telecommunications, Inc. 130 N. Bond Street, Suite 201 Bel Air, MD 21014

Carole C. Harris Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street, N.W., Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20001

James T. Haydon B & C Communications 1330 Stimmel Road Columbus, OH 43224

Katherin M. Holden Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

E. Ashton Johnson Bryan Cave 700 13th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005-3960

Kathleen A. Kaercher Brown and Schwaninger 1835 K Street, N.W. Suite 650 Washington, DC 20006

Robert B. Kelly Kelly & Povich, P.C. 1101 30th Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Raymond J. Kimball Ross & Hardies 888 16th Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006 Mark Lindquist Communications Center, Inc. Box 1034 Plerre, SD 57501

Dean C. Lovett
DCL Associates, Inc.
12301 Stoney Creek Road
Potomac. MD 20854

Cathleen A. Massey
McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc.
1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

William R. Miller Russ Miller Rental 3620 Byers Avenue Fort Worth, TX 76107

A.C. Miller Spectrum Resources, Inc. 307 Annandale Road, Suite 101 Falls Church, VA 22042

Gerald L. Noe Wiztronics, Inc. 1800 Ellis Street Bellingham, WA 98225

John D. Pellegrin John D. Pellegrin, Chartered 1140 Connecticut Avenue, N.w. Suite 606 Washington, 20036

Elizabeth R. Sachs Likas, McGowna, Nace & Gutierrez 1111 19th Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Robert H. Schwaninger, Jr. Brown and Schwaninger 1835 K Street, N.W., Suite 650 Washington, DC 20006 Alan R. Shark
American Mobile Telecommunications
Association, Inc.
1150 18th Street, N.W., Suite 250
Washington DC 20036

Jeffrey L. Sheldon UTC 1140 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 1140 Washington, DC 20036

Raymond J. Stone
American Industrial & Marine
Electronics, Inc.
P.O. Box 715
Dover, DE 19903

Catherine E. Sutter Pro-Tec Communications P.O. Box 11203 Casa Grande, AZ 85230

Alan S. Tilles
Meyer, Faller, Weisman and
Rosenberg, P.C.
4400 Jenifer Street, N.W.
Suite 380
Washington, DC 20015

Charles C. Townsend Atlantic Cellular Company, L.P. 15 Westminster Street, Suite 830 Providence, RI 02903

Laurence E. Wolff Diamond "L" Industries, Inc. 715 North Highway 14 & 16 Suite 290 Gillette, WY 82717-0787

William C. Wyatt Total Com, Inc. 2701 N. Van Buren Enid, OK 73703 Lisa M. Zaina OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036

Deck Communications, Inc. Rural Route 1, Box 103 Harvey, ND 58341

Bis-man Mobile Phone, Inc. 1417 39th Avenue, S.E. Mandan, ND 58554

Nodak Communications Rural Route 1, Box 96 Sykeston, ND 58485

Morris Communications, Inc. P.O. Box 16419
Greenville, SC 29606

Neilson Communications, Inc. 23 East Oak Street Sturgeon Bay, WI 54235-2786

Dakota Electronics 424 County Road 19 Rural Route 1 Aberdeen, SD 57401

Southern Minnesota Communications, Inc. 615 Fifth Avenue, S.W. Waseca, MN 56093

Brandon Communications, Inc. 115 E. Front Street Brandon, MN 56315

E.T. Communications Co. 2040 Radisson Street Green Bay, WI 54302

Bolin Communications Systems 3210 Pleasant Grove Road Texarkana, TX 75501

Automated Business Communications 2040 Radisson Street Green Bay, WI 54302

Vantek Communications, Inc. 114 S. Franklin Avenue Sioux Falls, SD 57103

Keller Communications, Inc. 11074 Harry Hines Boulevard Dallas, TX 75229

Lisa M. Volpe